before us which confined itself only to the question of extending the period by one year we were entitled to bring other amendments which would affect the other sections of the Act. However, I am in agreement with the points put forward by the Hon. Member Sri Sampath and the hon. the Leader of the Opposition. But my doubt was there and it has been supported by the Leader of the House who said we were not entitled to discuss other things under this Bill. I can only appeal to the Hon. the Minister in charge to look into the points made by hon. Members and when he brings forward a comprehensive Bill these things could be taken into consideration.

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM : Even in the meanwhile.

MR. SPEAKER : Yes, even in the meanwhile Government may consider the question of issuing executive instructions or orders to see that the hardship is removed.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : What I said privately, he wants me to make it public. I already said I would consider it, have it examined and do what is necessary.

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

'That the Madras Agricultural Income-tax (Amendment) Bill, 1960 (L.A. Bill No. 2 of 1960) be passed.'

The motion was put and carried and the Bill was passed.

III.—GOVERNMENT MOTION.

AMENDMENT TO RULES ISSUED UNDER THE MADRAS ESTATES (ABOLITION AND CONVERSION INTO RYOTWARI) ACT, 1948 (MADRAS ACT XXVI OF 1948).

* THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU : Mr. Speaker, Sir, I move :

'That the following draft amendment to the rules published with Revenue Department Notification dated the 21st December 1949, at pages 397—398 of the Rules Supplement to Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 27th December 1949 as subsequently amended, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 40 (1) of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948 (Madras Act XXVI of 1948), as subsequently amended, be approved.

DRAFT AMENDMENT.

'In rule 3 of the said rules, the third proviso shall be omitted. The amendment hereby made shall be deemed to have come into force on the 27th June, 1959.'

Sir, Rule 3 requires that compensation should be paid within a particular time. But the Andhra Government amended the rule because certain estates under the Pataskar award, certain

24th March 1960] [Sri M. A. Manickavelu]

territories now in the Madras State will go over to the Andhra State and certain others in the Andhra State will come to Madras State, and said pending the transfer, the rule requiring the payment of compensation by a particular date need not be enforced. For that they amended the rule and added a proviso saying that the rule need not be strictly enforced namely " that the amount should be paid within a particular time. " Because the Andhra Government amended the Rule we also in our turn amended the rule and said the deposit amount need not be deposited. Now the Andhra Government have changed the rule. In turn we also now want to omit the proviso so that deposits could be made and the amount disbursed. The change over of territories will take place from 1st April. This is a simple motion and it may be accepted.

MR. SPEAKER : The question is :

' That the following draft amendment to the rules published with Revenue Department Notification, dated the 21st December 1949, at pages 397–398 of the Rules Supplement to Part I of the *Fort St. George Gazette*, dated the 27th December 1949, as subsequently amended, which it is proposed to make in exercise of the powers conferred by section 40 (1) of the Madras Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948 (Madras Act XXVI of 1948), as subsequently amended, be approved.

DRAFT AMENDMENT.

' In rule 3 of the said rules, the third proviso shall be omitted.

The amendment hereby made shall be deemed to have come into force on the 27th June 1959 '.

The motion was put and carried.

IV.—VOTING ON DEMANDS FOR GRANTS FOR THE YEAR 1960-61.

DEMAND XXIV—HARIJAN UPLIFT.

MR. SPEAKER : Now we shall take up Demand XXIV—Harijan Uplift.

THE HON. SRI P. KAKKAN : Mr. Speaker, Sir, on the recommendation of the Governor I move—

' That the Government be granted a sum not exceeding Rs. 2,95,30,900 under Demand XXIV—Harijan Uplift.

MR. SPEAKER : I understand that the cut motion standing in the name of the hon. Member, Sri A. Govindasamy will be moved. He may move the cut motion.

SRI A. GOVINDASAMY : Sir, I move—

' That the allotment of Rs. 2,95,30,900 under Demand XXIV —Harijan Uplift—be reduced by Rs. 100.'

MR. SPEAKER : Now the Demand and the cut motion are before the House for discussion.